commodate the observed product ratios; other combinations of the various transients are also conceivable.

Formation of aglycon dimers from reduction of the 11deoxyanthracyclines (aclacinomycin A,17 11-deoxydaunomycin,18 and menogaril¹⁹) results from slow, nonradical coupling of quinone methides, one quinone methide serving as a nucleophile and the other as an electrophile. Formation of aglycon dimers from reduction of daunomycin under anaerobic conditions is not observed because of the much shorter lifetime of the quinone methide state due to rapid tautomerization to form 7-deoxydaunomycinone (7).4.20.21

Earlier, Bachur¹⁰ and Sinha¹¹ proposed that covalent binding of the aglycon to biological macromolecules occured via a freeradical pathway involving combination of 11 with a radical site on the macromolecule. The semiquinone methide was thought to result from glycosidic cleavage at the semiquinone redox state.^{10,11,22,23} Substantial evidence now establishes that semiquinone does not undergo glycosidic cleavage, at least in vitro.4,17-20 The results described here indicate that the potentially important semiquinone methide is biologically accessible from reaction of the quinone methide, from glycosidic cleavage at the hydroquinone state, with molecular oxygen.

Supplementary Material Available: A reverse-phase HPLC chromatogram showing retention times of the products, ¹H NMR data showing chemical shifts and splittings for products 3-6, 8, and 9, and MS data for products 5, 6, 8, and 9 (3 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

(21) A further indicator of a radical mechanism is that anaerobic reduction (21) A further indicator of a radical mechanism is that analytical resolution of 8 and 9 with 0.5 equiv of reducing agent resulted in only 10% cleavage to 7 after 30 min of reaction (see refs 17-19).
(22) Komiyama, T.; Oki, T.; Inui, T. J. Antibiot. 1979, 32, 1219.
(23) Berg, H.; Horn, G.; Jacob, H.-E.; Fiedler, U.; Luthardt, U.; Tresselt, Distribution Products 1986 16, 135.

D. Bioelectrochem. Bioenerg. 1986, 16, 135.

First Example of a Peroxo-Bridged Complex Having an Accompanying Metal-Metal Bond

Brian A. Vaartstra, Jianliang Xiao, and Martin Cowie*

Department of Chemistry, The University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2 Received September 21, 1990

Transition-metal dioxygen complexes have attracted a great deal of interest owing to their importance in biological systems¹⁻⁴ and because of their involvement in the oxidation of organic substrates.⁵⁻⁸ Although many complexes have been characterized in which the dioxygen molecule bridges the two metals,9 primarily involving cobalt, there are no examples of which we are aware in which the bridging O_2 moiety is accompanied by a metal-metal bond, to give a cyclic dimetallo-peroxide grouping. In this report

Figure 1. An ORTEP representation of $[Ir_2I_2(CO)_2(\mu-O_2)(dppm)_2]$ (1) in which only the ipso carbons of the phenyl rings are shown. Primed atoms are related by unprimed ones by a crystallographic 2-fold axis bisecting the Ir-Ir' and the O(2)-O(2)' bonds. Thermal ellipsoids are at the 20% level except for methylene hydrogens, which are arbitrarily small. Selected bond distances (Å): Ir-Ir', 2.705 (1); Ir-I, 2.764 (1); Ir-C(1), 1.82 (2); Ir-O(2), 2.04 (1); O(2)-O(2)', 1.58 (2). Interatomic angles (deg): Ir'-Ir-O(2), 71.7 (3); Ir-O(2)-O(2)', 102.0 (4); Ir'-Ir-C(1), 101.3 (7); I-lr-C(1), 97.8 (7); I-Ir-O(2), 89.4 (3).

we outline the preparation, characterization, and some preliminary chemistry of such a species.

The compound in question, $[Ir_2I_2(CO)_2(\mu-O_2)(dppm)_2]$ (1)¹⁰ $(dppm = Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2)$, is readily and irreversibly obtained in greater than 70% yield as very insoluble dark purple crystals after exposure of a CH₂Cl₂ solution of $[Ir_2I_2(CO)(\mu-CO)(dppm)_2]^{11}$ to air or pure dioxygen for several hours. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of 1 indicates that all four phosphorus nuclei are chemically equivalent, and the increase in the carbonyl stretching frequencies, from 1948 and 1741 cm⁻¹ in the precursor to 2005 and 1979 cm^{-1} in 1, is consistent with oxidation of the metal centers; no O-O stretch can be unambiguously identified. Confirmation that the complex contains coordinated O₂ comes from the X-ray structure determination (see Figure 1), which shows that the dioxygen ligand bridges the two metals.¹² The short Ir-Ir' separation of 2.705 (1) Å clearly supports the μ -peroxo formulation in which the two lr(II) centers are joined by an Ir-Ir bond. Although the Ir-O(2) distance (2.04 (1) Å) is normal, the O-(2)-O(2)' separation, at 1.58 (2) Å, is extremely long; only [La₂(N(SiMe₃)₂)₄(O₂)(OPPh₃)₂]¹³ appears to have a longer O-O separation (1.65 (4) Å). By comparison, the O–O distance in Na_2O_2 is 1.49 Å¹⁴ and O–O distances are usually found in the range from 1.40 to 1.50 Å in other μ -peroxo compounds.^{9,15} The

⁽¹⁷⁾ Kleyer, D. L.; Gaudiano, G.; Koch, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 1105.

 ⁽¹⁸⁾ Boldt, M.; Gaudiano, G.; Koch, T. H. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 2146.
 (19) Boldt, M.; Gaudiano, G.; Haddadin, M. J.; Koch, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 2283.

⁽²⁰⁾ Fisher, J.; Abdella, B. R. J.; McLane, K. Biochemistry 1985, 24, 3562

⁽¹⁾ Martell, A. E., Sawyer, D. T., Eds. Oxygen Complexes and Oxygen Activation by Transition Metals; Plenum: New York, 1988

⁽²⁾ McLendon, G.; Martell, A. E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1976, 19, 1-39.
(3) Tyeklår, Z.; Karlin, K. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 241-248.
(4) Basolo, F.; Hoffman, B. M.; Ibers, J. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 1975, 8, 2202

³⁸⁴⁻³⁹² (5) Sheldon, R. A.; Kochi, J. K. Metal-Catalyzed Oxidations of Organic

Compounds; Academic Press: New York, 1981. (6) Van Asselt, A.; Trimmer, M. S.; Henling, L. M.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8254-8255.

 ⁽⁷⁾ Jorgensen, K. A. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 431-458.
 (8) Day, V. W.; Klemperer, W. G.; Lockledge, S. P.; Main, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2031-2033. (9) Gubelmann, M. H.; Williams, A. F. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 1983, 55,

¹⁻⁶⁵

⁰⁽¹⁾ CII Θ P(2) C(11) DC(31) C(2) C(21) (41)

⁽¹⁰⁾ $[Ir_2I_2(CO)_2(\mu-O_2)(dppm)_2]$: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.1-7.8 (m, 40 H), 5.3 (m, 2 H), 4.0 (m, 2 H); ³IP[¹H] NMR (CD₂Cl₂, vs 85% H₃PO₄) δ -24.4 (s); IR (Nujol) ν (CO) 2005, 1979 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $Ir_2I_2P_4O_4C_{52}H_{44}$: C, 41.77; H, 2.97. Found: C, 41.44; H, 2.91. (11) (a) Vaartstra, B. A. Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Alberta, 1989. (b) Vaartstra, B. A.; Jenkins, J. A.; Xiao, J.; Cowie, M., to be submitted for publication. (c) $[Ir_2I_2(CO)(\mu-CO)(dppm)_2]$ was prepared from the re-action of terans/IC(CO)(dppm)]. with 10 enuive of K1 in CH.Cl. (MacH

action of trans-[lrCl(CO)(dpm)]₂ with 10 equiv of K1 in CH₂Cl₂/MeOH. IR: ν (CO) = 1948, 1741 cm⁻¹ (Nujol). X-ray data: P2₁/c, a = 20.241 (4) Å, b = 14.153 (2) Å, c = 20.446 (2) Å, β = 112.76 (1)°, Z = 4; R = 0.041, $R_w = 0.049$ based on 5949 independent observations. Compound contains one terminal and one bridging carbonyl group in adjacent sites and one iodo group on each metal; one iodide is cis to the terminal carbonyl and perpendicular

to the lr-lr bond and the other opposite the Ir-Ir bond. (12) Crystal data for 1, Ir₂I₂P₄O₄C₅₂H₄₄ (FW = 1495.03): space group $P4_12_12$, a = 14.647 (2) Å, c = 27.973 (4) Å, Z = 4, V = 6001.4 Å³. Data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius CAD4 diffractometer using Mo Ka radiation. Solved by using direct methods (MULTAN) and refined by using full-matrix least-squares techniques to R = 0.061 and $R_w = 0.093$ for 3585 unique observations with $F_o^2 \ge 3\sigma(F_o^2)$. The data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption. The molecule occupies the crystallographic 2-fold axis

⁽¹³⁾ Bradley, D. C.; Ghotra, J. S.; Hart, F. A.; Hursthouse, M. B.;
Raithby, P. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1977, 1166-1172.
(14) Tallman, R. L.; Margrave, J. L.; Bailey, S. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc.

^{1957, 79, 2979-2980.}

9426

Scheme I



lr-O(2)-O(2)' angle (102.0 (4)°) is smaller than observed (ca. 120°) in other μ -peroxo compounds,⁹ probably because of the strain introduced by the presence of the lr-lr bond. As in most such complexes, the lr-O(2)-O(2)'-lr' moiety is not planar but displays a torsion angle about the O(2)-O(2)' bond of 34.6°; again, this twist is less than in other bridged cases owing to the metal-metal bond. It is to be emphasized that compound 1 represents a new type of μ -peroxo compound in which the two metal centers are not independent but are connected by a metal-metal bond. It is significant that a related peroxo-bridged complex containing two lr(11) centers has been postulated as an intermediate in an oxygen-atom transfer to an olefin.⁸ Although this intermediate was shown without a metal-metal bond, the present study suggests that it could be reformulated as having an accompanying Ir-Ir bond.

The long O(2)-O(2)' separation in 1 suggests that this bond is weak and should be readily cleaved. Consequently the reactions of 1 with several small molecules have been investigated, and the preliminary results are summarized in Scheme 1; in all cases the reactions are characterized by a rapid color change from deep purple to yellow or orange. Compound 1 reacts with SO₂ to yield the sulfate-bridged product $[lr_2l_2(CO)_2(\mu-SO_4)(dppm)_2]$ (2)¹⁶ through facile oxygen transfer to the SO₂ molecule. This product appears to be quite analogous to 1, containing two Ir(11) centers linked by an lr-lr bond, and with the bridging peroxo group replaced by sulfate. Similarly, reaction of 1 with 2 equiv of NO_2 yields the nitrate-bridged species $[lr_2l_2(CO)_2(\mu-NO_3)-(dppm)_2][NO_3]$ (3a);¹⁷ the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum suggests that a rearrangement between carbonyl and iodo ligands at one of the metal centers may have occurred to give an asymmetric species as shown in the scheme. Confirmation of the ionic nature of 3a is obtained from conductivity measurements and by replacement of the NO₃⁻ anion by BF_4^- to give $[lr_2l_2(CO)_2(\mu-NO_3)-(dppm)_2][BF_4]$ (**3b**).¹⁸ Compound **1** also reacts with the protic acids HCl and H₂SO₄ to yield $[Ir_2I_2Cl_2(CO)_2(dppm)_2]$ (4)¹⁹ and 2, respectively, with simultaneous formation of H_2O_2 , which can be detected with use of aqueous KI solution in the presence of starch. Attempts to observe intermediates in which protonation of the coordinated O₂ moiety has occurred were unsuccessful. The sulfate-bridged product 2 can also be prepared through reaction of 1 with CuSO₄. Compound 1 also reacts with nitric oxide, hexafluoroacetone, and much more slowly (several days), with carbon monoxide, and also with acids such as HBF₄·Et₂O having only weakly coordinating conjugate bases; these and other reactions are being investigated.

The structure determination of 1 confirms that this compound is the first member of a new type of species in which a peroxo ligand bridges a metal-metal bond. It will be of interest to determine if the resulting strain introduced in the μ -peroxo unit by this metal-metal interaction, and the exceptionally long O-O distance, will result in unusual reactivity of this species.

Our preliminary studies show that the related dichloro species, $[Ir_2Cl_2(CO)_2(dppm)_2]$, also reacts with O₂, and this reaction is being investigated to determine if a similar metal-metal-bonded, μ -peroxo complex is obtained.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the University of Alberta for financial support and NSERC for partial support of the diffractometer and for funding of the PE883 IR spectrometer.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of X-ray data, atomic coordinates, isotropic thermal parameters, and bond distances and angles for 1 (7 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Unusual Reactions of Amino Acid Derivatives: N-Nitrooxazolidones and N-Nitroamino Acids

Emil H. White,* Seunguk Paik, L. J. Todaro,[†] and Timothy A. Marean

Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218 Received July 23, 1990

Amides are "activated" to attack by nucleophiles via Nnitrosation;¹ the resulting nitrosoamides ($\lambda_{max} \sim 400$ nm) have proved to be useful substrates for enzymes, particularly since suitably constructed ones can also serve as irreversible inhibitors.² The present study is based on examining N-nitroamides³ as

⁽¹⁵⁾ Greenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements; Per-

⁽¹⁵⁾ Greenwood, N. N.; Earnshaw, A. Chemistry of the Elements; Pergamon Press: Oxford, England, 1986; p 720. (16) $[1r_2!_2(CO)_2(\mu$ -SQ₄)(dppm)₂]: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.1–7.9 (m, 40 H), 4.9 (m, 4 H); ³Pl¹H) NMR (CD₂Cl₂, vs 85% H₂PO₄) δ –17.7 (s); 1R (Nujol) ν (CO) 2085, 2037, 2028 cm⁻¹; ν (SO₄) 1250, 1140, 952, 800 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for Ir₂[₂SP₄O₆C₅₂H₄₄: C, 40.06; H, 2.84; I, 16.28; S, 2.06. Found: C, 38.48; H, 2.86; I, 15.13; S, 2.47. (17) $[Ir_2!_2(CO)_2(\mu$ -NO₃)(dppm)₂][NO₃]·CH₂Cl₂: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.0–8.0 (m, 40 H), 5.9 (m, 2 H), 4.4 (m, 2 H); ³Pl¹H] NMR (CD₂Cl₂, vs 85% H₂PO₄) δ –20.0 (m), –26.2 (m); IR (Nujol) ν (CO) 2049 cm⁻¹ (br); ν (μ -NO₃) 1518, 1259, 1040, 780 cm⁻¹; ν (NO₃) 1350, 1023, 700 cm⁻¹. Conductivity A (1 × 10⁻³ M, CH₃NO₂) 81.1 Gr⁻¹ cm² mol⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for Ir₂I₂Cl₂P₄O₈N₂-C₃₃H₄₆: C, 37.35; H, 2.66; N, 1.68. Found: C, 37.67; H, 2.78; N, 1.67. (18) [Ir₂I₂(CO)₂(μ -NO₃)(dppm)₂][BF₄]: ⁻¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.0–7.9 (m, 40 H), 5.9 (m, 2 H), 4.3 (m, 2 H); ³Pl¹H] NMR (CD₂Cl₂, vs 85% H₃PO₄) δ =20.0 (m), –26.2 (m); IR (Nujol) ν (CO) 2048 cm⁻¹ (br); ν (NO₃) 1519, 1260, 780 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for Ir₂I₂P₄F₄O₅NC₅₂BH₄₄: C, 38.75; H, 2.75; N, 0.87; 1, 15.75. Found: C, 39.09; H, 2.76; N, 0.99; 1. 16.07.

⁽¹⁹⁾ $[1r_2l_2Cl_2(CO)_2(dppm)_2]$: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.2–7.8 (m, 40 H), 5.7 (m, 2 H), 5.0 (m, 2 H); ³¹Pl¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, vs 85% H₃PO₄) δ –23.0 (s); ¹R (Nujol) ν (CO) 2028 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $Ir_2l_2Cl_2P_4O_2C_{52}H_{44}$: C, 40.71; H, 2.90; Cl, 4.62. Found: C, 40.51; H, 2.95; Cl, 4.59.

[†]Physical Chemistry Department, Chemistry Research, Hoffman-LaRoche, Inc., Nutley, NJ 07110.

⁽¹⁾ For example, the facile conversion of N-nitrosoamides with base into diazotate salts or diazoalkanes (Thiele, J. Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1910, 376, 239. Hantzsch, A.; Lehmann, M. Chem. Ber. 1902, 35, 897. Moss, R.

^{376, 239.} Hantzsch, A.; Lehmann, M. Chem. Ber. 1902, 35, 897. Moss, R.
A. J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 1082); also, the references listed in footnote 2.
(2) (a) White, E. H.; Roswell, D. F.; Politzer, I. R.; Branchini, B. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 2290-2291. (b) White, E. H.; Roswell, D. F.;
Politzer, I. R.; Branchini, B. R. Methods Enzymol. 1977, 46, 216. (c) White, E. H.; Jelinski, L. W.; Perks, H. M.; Burrows, E. P.; Roswell, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 3171. (d) White, E. H.; Perks, H. M.; Roswell, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 7421. (e) White, E. H.; Jelinski, L. W.;
Politzer, I. R.; Branchini, B. R.; Roswell, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4231-4239. (f) Donadio, S.; Perks, H. M.; Tsuchiya, K.; White, E. H. Biochemistry 1985, 24, 2447-2458. (g) White, E. H.; Li, M.; Cousins, J. P.;
Roswell, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6772-6773.